

Pesticides and Childhood Cancer

Shelia Hoar Zahm and Mary H. Ward

Occupational Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Etiology,
National Cancer Institute, Rockville, Maryland

Children are exposed to potentially carcinogenic pesticides from use in homes, schools, other buildings, lawns and gardens, through food and contaminated drinking water, from agricultural application drift, overspray, or off-gassing, and from carry-home exposures of parents occupationally exposed to pesticides. Parental exposure during the child's gestation or even preconception may also be important. Malignancies linked to pesticides in case reports or case-control studies include leukemia, neuroblastoma, Wilms' tumor, soft-tissue sarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and cancers of the brain, colorectum, and testes. Although these studies have been limited by nonspecific pesticide exposure information, small numbers of exposed subjects, and the potential for case-response bias, it is noteworthy that many of the reported increased risks are of greater magnitude than those observed in studies of pesticide-exposed adults, suggesting that children may be particularly sensitive to the carcinogenic effects of pesticides. Future research should include improved exposure assessment, evaluation of risk by age at exposure, and investigation of possible genetic-environment interactions. There is potential to prevent at least some childhood cancer by reducing or eliminating pesticide exposure. — *Environ Health Perspect* 106(Suppl 3):893-908 (1998). <http://ehpnet1.niehs.nih.gov/docs/1998/Suppl-3/893-908zahm/abstract.html>

Key words: children, cancer, pesticides, insecticides, herbicides, leukemia, brain tumors, neuroblastoma, Wilms' tumor sarcoma, lymphoma, colorectum, testes

Introduction

Pesticides are agents designed to kill insects, weeds, fungi, rodents, and other unwanted animals and plant life. Many are carcinogenic in animal bioassays and some are known or suspected to be human carcinogens. Of 51 pesticides evaluated by the U.S. National Cancer Institute and the U.S. National Toxicology Program as of 1990, 24 demonstrated carcinogenicity in chronic bioassays (1). As of 1997, the International Agency for Research on Cancer had classified 26 pesticides as having sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals and 19 as having limited evidence in animals (2,3). Of these, 8 and 15 pesticides, respectively,

are still registered for use in the United States (4,5) (Table 1). Furthermore, many compounds banned or severely restricted in the United States, notably many organochlorine insecticides, are still in use in other countries.

Sources of Pesticide Exposure

The majority of pesticide use in this country is related to agriculture. Children living on or near treated croplands can be exposed through agricultural application drift, overspray, or off-gassing (6,7). Pesticide-laden dust is tracked into homes on shoes and on pets (7,8) and is a major source of exposure within the home (9,10). Farmers and other occupationally exposed parents may bring pesticides into the home on their clothing and equipment (11). Young children, who are likely to spend a large proportion of their time on the floor or ground and who frequently put hands and objects in their mouths (10), may be at particularly high risk of exposure.

Contamination of ground and surface water from agricultural runoff can also result in the exposure of children to pesticides. The U.S. Department of Agriculture estimates that 50 million people in the United States obtain their drinking water

from groundwater that is potentially contaminated by pesticides and other agricultural chemicals (12). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) National Pesticide Survey of drinking water wells found one or more pesticides or pesticide degradates in 10.4% of community water systems and 4.2% of rural domestic wells (13). Conventional drinking water treatment techniques do not remove the pesticide contaminants. A 1994 study of tests for five herbicides in 20,000 samples of tap water and drinking water sources found that 14.1 million people routinely drink water contaminated with atrazine, cyanazine, simazine, alachlor, and metolachlor (14). Many samples contained two or more herbicides. In 1995 another survey by the same environmental organization also found widespread contamination of tap water by herbicides, frequently at levels exceeding the U.S. EPA lifetime health advisory level (15). Again, multiple pesticides were found simultaneously in approximately two-thirds of the cities. Pesticides can persist in the groundwater even after use has been curtailed. For example, dibromochloropropane, a soil fumigant banned in California in 1977, is still found in sufficient concentrations in California groundwater (16,17) to "pose a significant health risk in agricultural areas" (17).

A recent report found increased concentrations of triazine and acetanilide herbicides in rainfall during the late spring and summer in the United States (18). The highest concentrations were observed in Midwest Corn Belt states following herbicide applications to cropland.

Food can become contaminated by pesticides, particularly insecticides, as a result of treatments in the field, during storage, or in the home (7). Although diet does not appear to be a major route of exposure for most pesticides (19), concerns exist over the occasional single food item that may have extremely high residues (e.g., one potato had lethal levels of aldicarb) (20) and the effects on children, who typically eat more fruits per unit of body weight than adults and who may be particularly sensitive to toxic effects because of immature metabolism and other factors (21). One report estimated that one out of every four times a child 5 years of age or under eats a peach, he or she is exposed to an unsafe level of organophosphate insecticides (22). A 1995 survey of 76 jars of baby food from grocery stores found 16 pesticides in eight

This paper is based on a presentation at the U.S. EPA Conference on Preventable Causes of Cancer in Children held 15-16 September 1997 in Arlington, Virginia. Manuscript received at EHP 4 December 1997; accepted 19 February 1998.

Address correspondence to Dr. S.H. Zahm, National Cancer Institute, 6130 Executive Boulevard, Room 418, Rockville, MD 20892. Telephone: (301) 496-8157. Fax: (301) 402-1819. E-mail: zahms@epndce.nci.nih.gov

Abbreviations used: ALL, acute lymphocytic leukemia; AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; ANLL, acute nonlymphocytic leukemia; CI, confidence interval; CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; 2,4-D, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid; OR, odds ratio; RR, rate ratio; U.S. EPA, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Table 1. Pesticides with limited or sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals [International Agency for Research on Cancer (2)].

Pesticide	Animal evidence of carcinogenicity	Currently registered in the United States ^a
Herbicides		
Amitrole	Sufficient	Y
Atrazine	Limited	Y
Diallate	Limited	Y
Monuron	Limited	Y
Nitrofen	Sufficient	N
Picloram	Limited	Y
Sulfallate	Sufficient	N
Trifluralin	Limited	Y
Insecticides		
Aldrin	Limited	N
Aramite	Sufficient	N
Arsenic and arsenical compounds	Limited	N ^b
Chlordane/heptachlor	Sufficient	N
Chlordecone	Sufficient	N
Chlorobenzilate	Limited	N
DDT	Sufficient	N
Dichlorvos	Sufficient	Y
Dicofol	Limited	Y
Dieldrin	Limited	N
HCH, α -HCH	Sufficient	N
β -HCH, γ -HCH (lindane)	Limited	Y
Methyl parathion	Limited	Y
Mirex	Sufficient	N
Tetrachlorvinphos	Limited	Y
Toxaphene	Sufficient	N
Nonarsenical insecticides	Limited	Y
Fungicides		
Captafol	Sufficient	N
Captan	Limited	Y
Chlorothalonil	Limited	Y
Ethylene thiourea	Sufficient	Y ^c
Formaldehyde	Sufficient	Y
Hexachlorobenzene	Sufficient	N
Pentachloronitrobenzene	Limited	Y
Pentachlorophenol	Sufficient	Y
<i>ortho</i> -phenyl phenol	Sufficient	N
Sodium <i>ortho</i> -phenyl phenate	Sufficient	N
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	Sufficient	Y
Ziram	Limited	Y
Other		
Creosote	Sufficient	Y
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	Sufficient	N
1,3-Dichloropropene	Sufficient	Y ^b
Dimethylcarbamoyl chloride	Sufficient	—
1,1-Dimethyl hydrazine	Sufficient	—
Ethylene dibromide	Sufficient	N
Methyl bromide	Limited	Y
Methylmercury chloride	Sufficient	N

Abbreviations: —, could not be determined. HCH, hexachlorocyclohexane; N, no; Y, yes. ^aData from IARC (2,3) and the U.S. EPA (4,5). ^bSeverely restricted. ^cContaminant or metabolite of a registered product.

brand-name products (23). The pesticides detected included three probable human carcinogens and five possible human carcinogens, as classified by the U.S. EPA. Infants can also be exposed to pesticides and pesticide metabolites in breast milk and via placental transfer (24,25).

Exposure may occur from leaks, spills, and accidents during the manufacture, distribution, and application of pesticides and

from routine pollution from manufacturing and disposal sites. For example, 20% of Arkansas children who lived near an herbicide manufacturing plant had residues of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) in their urine (26).

The majority of most children's exposure to pesticides, however, is from home, lawn, and garden use of pesticides (27). The National Home and Garden Pesticide Use

Survey conducted by the U.S. EPA found that 82% of U.S. households used pesticides with an average of three to four different pesticide products per home (28). Sixty-six percent of households treated the home's primary living areas one or more times per year (28). Thirty-seven percent of households reported insecticide treatments when there was no major insect problem (28). These data were consistent with the earlier National Household Pesticide Usage Study (29), which reported that 84% of households used pesticides inside the home. In data from a childhood cancer case-control study, Leiss and Savitz (30) reported that 26% of control households had a history of home extermination and 27% reported use of pest strips. Use of termiticides outside and beneath a home can also result in indoor pesticide exposure (7). There are also case reports of extreme pesticide use, such as the report of a child whose mattress was sprayed two times per week for most of his life with DDVP-Baygon (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany), a combination of an organophosphate and a carbamate insecticide (31).

Pesticide use on gardens and lawns may also result in exposure to children either during application or if engaging in activities on the lawn within one day of application (32,33). The National Home and Garden Pesticide Survey (28) found that 2% of households used herbicides on the yard or garden annually. Similar frequencies of use ranging from 21 to 33% have been reported in other surveys (7,29,34). The use of lawn care pesticides is increasing 5 to 8% annually (35). Use of lawn chemicals at any time (ever) was reported to be 63% (30) and 68% (36) in the control populations of two cancer case-control studies. The amount of pesticides per treated acre of household lands is almost five times the application rate for treated agricultural lands (37). A biomonitoring study of dogs found that animals having contact with lawns treated with 2,4-D had measurable levels in their urine for several days after application (38). Thus, incidental contact with lawn care pesticides may lead to exposures. Public lands such as school yards, parks, and golf courses are often treated with pesticides and may result in exposure to children.

Both indoor and outdoor pesticide use can result in household contamination, particularly in carpets (7,9,39), that can persist for years because of the lack of sun, rain, and other factors that speed pesticide degradation outdoors (40-43). The number and

concentration of pesticides found in household dust are greater than those found in air, soil, or food (41,43). These residues are of great concern for children. In one study of a broadcast flea treatment, the household residues had a vertical (floor to ceiling) concentration gradient so the resulting respiratory dose estimated for a child was 4 to 6 times greater than that for an adult; dermal dose estimates were 30 times greater (44). Children's toys can also serve as a reservoir for pesticides (45). The organophosphate insecticide chlorpyrifos accumulates on plastic and in plush toys through a two-stage process whereby the pesticide was deposited on surfaces during application, then released as a vapor, redeposited, and sorbed by furniture and toys for at least 2 weeks postapplication (45).

Children may be exposed to pesticides through pet products and through use of insecticidal shampoos for lice infestations, sometimes with a large number of applications per child.

Epidemiologic Studies

Study Designs

The evidence that pesticide exposure may be associated with childhood cancer comes from case reports and several types of epidemiologic studies. Case reports are observations of unusual cancer-exposure combinations in one or more individuals. Reports involving several cases are often called clusters. Case reports may reflect a causal relationship or may be due to chance. The specific pesticide exposures are often clearly identified in case reports, much more so than in larger studies, and often demonstrate excessive use of pesticides around children [e.g., a child's mattress sprayed with propoxur twice weekly for most of the child's life (31)]. Case reports can stimulate further investigation using more rigorous research techniques. Cross-sectional, or ecologic, studies evaluate the correlation between rates of cancer and exposure based on population-level data (e.g., county pesticide use and county cancer incidence rates). Typically, they are not based on data on the individual level, have little information on potential confounders, do not take disease latency into account, and do not account for migration into or out of the geographic area under investigation. They can, however, provide clues to cancer etiology, usually at low cost. The most rigorous study designs are the case-control and cohort approaches. In case-control studies, past pesticide

exposures of cases and controls are compared. Using the cohort approach, study groups are selected on the basis of exposure status (e.g., pesticide-exposed group vs unexposed group) and disease rates in the two groups are compared. The advantages and limitations of each approach are described by Grufferman (46).

Most of the data on childhood cancer and pesticides are from case-control studies. There have been few case reports for most of the childhood cancers and only one relevant cohort study, an investigation of cancer among children of Norwegian farmers (47,48). Most of the research has focused on leukemia and brain cancer, with little attention given to other childhood malignancies. This is probably a reflection of the rarity of these other cancers, which makes them difficult to study.

The studies are reviewed by cancer type, identifying the study design, the number of cases, the exposure (e.g., occupational exposure to pesticides, household use of pesticides, specific chemicals), the person exposed (e.g., mother, father, child), the amount, the timing of exposure (e.g., pre-conception, during pregnancy, at birth, during childhood), the number of exposed cases, risk estimate, and confidence intervals (CI), when available. Some studies investigated more than one cancer type and appear in multiple tables in this paper. Studies that presented data only for all childhood cancers combined are not included.

Leukemia

Beginning in the late 1970s, there were several case reports of leukemia among children exposed to pesticides (Table 2). The termiticide chlordane, the organophosphate insecticide dichlorvos, and the carbamate propoxur were linked to leukemia among children (31,49,50). A cluster of cancers including leukemia was noted among children in the farm community of McFarland, California (51). These excess cancer rates remain controversial and under investigation almost 10 years after the initial report.

This review of 17 case-control studies and one cohort study supports a possible role for pesticides in childhood leukemia (30,48,52-67). Most, but not all, of the studies report elevated risks among children whose parents were occupationally exposed to pesticides or who used pesticides in the home or garden. Parental use of pesticides in the home or garden during pregnancy (father or mother) or nursing (mother only) was associated with 3- to 9-fold increases in

childhood leukemia in a case-control study in Los Angeles County, California (55). Maternal employment in agricultural occupations (odds ratio [OR] 1.8) or reported exposure to pesticides during pregnancy (OR 3.5) was associated with acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) in a case-control study in China (57). Occupational exposure to pesticides by either parent and use of pesticides in the home or garden during childhood was linked to acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in U.S. children (58). Some of the studies report excesses that are not statistically significant, possibly because of the extremely small numbers of exposed subjects.

Many of the studies evaluated parental occupations obtained from birth certificates or other records, assuming that employment as a farmer or in other agricultural occupations implied pesticide exposures. Buckley et al. (58) obtained lifetime occupational histories and calculated the number of days of pesticide exposure. The ORs increased to 2.7 among children whose fathers were exposed for more than 1000 days. Seven cases and no controls had mothers with more than 1000 days of pesticide exposure.

Some studies evaluated risk of leukemia according to reports of pesticide use in the home or garden and, in some, analyzed separately for parental exposure and for the child's exposure. Household pesticide use might be assumed to be insecticides only, whereas garden and lawn pesticides include both insecticides and herbicides. Leiss and Savitz (30) evaluated pesticide products and found significant excesses of leukemia associated with use of pest strips, but not for household extermination or yard pesticide treatments. Only one study analyzed levels of pesticides or their metabolites in biologic specimens. Scheele et al. (63) found no significant differences in levels of DDT, 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(*p*-chlorophenyl)ethylene, hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorocyclohexane, or dieldrin in the bone marrow of childhood leukemia cases at diagnosis when compared to controls. When all studies were reviewed, no clear patterns of risk by which parent was exposed, by timing of exposure, or by histologic type of leukemia were apparent.

Exposure-response gradients were seen in the two studies that assessed levels of the child's direct exposure to pesticides. Children who were exposed to pesticides less than once per week, one to two times per week, or most days of their lives had ORs of 1.8, 2.0, and 3.5, respectively, in a study of acute nonlymphocytic leukemia by Buckley et al. (58). Mulder et al. (66)

Table 2. Summary of studies on pesticides and childhood leukemia.

Study design	Reference	Cancer	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/ comment
Case report	Infante et al., 1978 (49)	Acute stem cell	1	Chlordane	Annual house treatment	1	Age 9, included 1 year removal of floorboards with heavy treatment
Case report	Reeves et al., 1981 (50)	ALL	1	Dichlorvos Propoxur	Used in home 30 times	1	Age 11, diagnosed 16 weeks after last use
Case report	Reeves, 1982 (31)	CML, ALL	13	Propoxur Dichlorvos, propoxur	Mattress sprayed 2 times/week for most of life. One case: seven cans sprayed in house 2 weeks prior to diagnosis	13	
Case report	Moses, 1989 (51)	Leukemia	NA	Residence in McFarland, CA, farm town	Prenatal and childhood	NA	
Case-control	Hemminki et al., 1981 (52)	Leukemia	319	Paternal occupation as farmer	Pregnancy	156 ^a	1.3 (not significant)
Case-control	Gold et al., 1982 (53)	Leukemia	43	Paternal occupation as farmer	Before birth Childhood	2 ^a 2 ^a	vs 0 controls vs 0 controls
Case-control	VanSteensel-Moll et al., 1985 (54)	Leukemia	519	Maternal occupation in agriculture Maternal pesticide exposure Paternal occupation in agriculture Paternal pesticide exposure	Pregnancy 1 year < diagnosis Pregnancy Pregnancy	3 3 4 35 32 36	0.4 (0.1, 1.7) 0.4 (0.1, 1.3) 0.7 (0.2, 2.5) 0.9 (0.5, 1.5) 0.9 (0.5, 1.5) 1.0 (0.6, 1.7)
Case-control	Lowengart et al., 1987 (55)	Leukemia	123	Parental pesticide use in home: either Maternal Paternal Parental pesticide use in garden: either Maternal Paternal	Pregnancy and (mother only) nursing	19 ^a 13 ^a 12 ^a 13 ^a 9 ^a 5 ^a	3.8 (significant) 3.2 (significant) 4.0 (significant) 6.5 (significant) 9.0 (significant) 5.0 (significant)
Case-control	Laval and Tuyns, 1988 (56)	Leukemia	201	Parental occupational exposure to pesticides	Ever	12	vs 3 controls
Case-control	Shu et al., 1988 (57)	Leukemia ALL ANLL Leukemia	309	Occupation in agriculture: Maternal Paternal Pesticide exposure: Maternal	Pregnancy	12 6 4 2 12 7 3	2.3 (0.9, 6.3) 1.8 (0.6, 5.4) 1.6 (0.4, 6.3) 0.3 (0.1, 1.6) 2.6 (0.8, 9.1) 3.5 (1.1, 11.2) 2.4 (0.5, 11.0)
Case-control	Buckley et al., 1989 (58)	ANLL	204	Occupational pesticide exposure: Paternal Maternal If diagnosed under age 6 If myelo-/monocytic Household pesticide exposure: Maternal Child Most days	Ever (1000+ days) Before pregnancy During pregnancy After pregnancy Ever (1000+ days) Before pregnancy During pregnancy After pregnancy If diagnosed under age 6 If myelo-/monocytic Household pesticide exposure: Maternal Child Most days	17 NA NA NA 7 NA NA NA NA NA NA 50 12 8 46 13 8	2.7 (1.0, 7.0) 1.7 (not significant) 1.9 (not significant) 1.8 (not significant) vs 0 controls 3.0 (significant) 6.0 (significant) 7.0 (significant) 11.4 (significant) 13.6 (significant) 1.4 (0.8, 2.2) 0.9 (0.4, 2.1) vs 0 controls 1.8 (1.0, 3.0) 2.0 (0.8, 5.0) 3.5 (0.9, 13.8)
Case-control	Gardner et al., 1990 (59)	Leukemia	52	Paternal occupation as farmer	Birth	5	12.6 (0.8, 9.0)

(Continued)

PESTICIDES AND CHILDHOOD CANCER

Table 2. *Continued.*

Study design	Reference	Cancer ^a	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/ comment
Case-control	Magnani et al., 1990 (60)	ALL	142	Paternal occupation as farmer	Before birth	4	1.8 (0.5, 6.5)
		ANLL	22		Birth to diagnosis	5	5.6 (1.3, 24.3)
Case-control	Infante-Rivard et al., 1991 (61)	ALL	128	Maternal occupation in agriculture	Pregnancy	NA	No association
		ALL	128			9 ^a	1.8 (0.6, 6.4)
Case-control	Schwartzbaum et al., 1991 (62)	ALL	522	Maternal insecticide exposure	Birth to diagnosis	7 ^a	1.4 (0.4, 4.1)
		ANLL	107			NA	1.3 (not significant)
Case-control	Scheele et al., 1992 (63)	ALL	35	Parental gardening with pesticides	At diagnosis	NA	0.9 (not significant)
		AML	3			38	No significant differences
Case-control	Deschamps and Band, 1993 (64)	Leukemia	15	Bone marrow levels of DDT/DDE, HCB, HCH, dieldrin	Childhood	15	No difference
Case-control	Roman et al., 1993 (65)	Leukemia	39	Pesticides sprayed in nearby parks, mosquito control, census data	Birth	11	1.1 (0.1, 5.9)
		Other leukemia	11		At interview	15	0.8 (0.1, 3.3)
Case-control	Mulder et al., 1994 (66)	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	6	Paternal occupation in agriculture	At interview	15	Results for leukemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma combined
		Leukemia, lymphoma	7				
Case-control	Mulder et al., 1994 (66)	Leukemia, lymphoma	7	Pesticide exposure: Child	Ever	2	1.3 (0.1, 11.4)
		Combined	7		≥ 3 hr/week	2	6.0 (0.3, 368.3)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Leukemia	NA	Paternal	Ever	6	1.0 (0.2, 6.1)
		Leukemia	NA		≥ 3 hr/week	5	2.1 (0.4, 12.5)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Leukemia	NA	Summary pesticide indicator	> 2 indicators	5	0.8 (0.1, 4.4)
		Leukemia	NA		> 3 indicators	4	1.7 (0.3, 10.5)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Leukemia	NA	Pest strips	> 4 indicators	3	3.1 (0.3, 28.3)
		Leukemia	NA		Last 3 months pregnancy	21	3.0 (1.6, 5.7)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Leukemia	NA	House extermination	Birth-2 years < dx	21	1.7 (1.2, 2.4)
		Leukemia	NA		2 years < dx to dx	18	2.6 (1.7, 3.9)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Leukemia	NA	Yard pesticide treatment	Last 3 months pregnancy	4	0.4 (0.1, 1.2)
		Leukemia	NA		Birth-2 years < dx	6	0.3 (0.1, 0.8)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Leukemia	NA	Yard pesticide treatment	2 years < dx to dx	7	0.9 (0.5, 1.4)
		Leukemia	NA		Last 3 months pregnancy	27	1.1 (0.6, 1.9)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Leukemia	NA	Yard pesticide treatment	Birth-2 years < dx	36	0.9 (0.5, 1.8)
		Leukemia	NA		2 years < dx to dx	33	1.1 (0.8, 1.5)
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Farmer: paternal	2 years < birth	6	1.6 (not significant)
		Leukemia	173		to diagnosis	4	3.2 (not significant)
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Occupational exposure to pesticides:		
		Leukemia	173		Paternal		
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Ever	9	1.2
		Leukemia	173		Year < pregnancy	9	1.8
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Pregnancy	5	1.3
		Leukemia	173		Childhood	9	1.2
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Ever	4	1.6
		Leukemia	173		Year < pregnancy	2	—
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Pregnancy	2	—
		Leukemia	173		Childhood	4	1.6
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Ever	12	1.5
		Leukemia	173		Year < pregnancy	11	2.2
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Pregnancy	7	2.0
		Leukemia	173		Childhood	12	1.5
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Ever	27	2.5 (1.1, 5.4)
		Leukemia	173		Year < pregnancy	20	2.5 (1.0, 6.1)
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	2 years < birth	7	1.6
		Leukemia	173		to diagnosis	37	0.8
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	House extermination: any	3	1.0
		Leukemia	173		By pest controller	113	1.0 (0.8, 1.2)
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Parental agricultural work, Census pesticide expenditures	52	1.1 (0.8, 1.5)
		Leukemia	173		Parental agricultural work, Census pesticide expenditures	29	1.2 (0.9, 1.7)
Case-control	Meinert et al., 1996 (67)	Leukemia	173	Maternal	Parental agricultural work, Census pesticide expenditures	12	1.4 (0.6, 2.9)
		Leukemia	173		Parental agricultural work, Census pesticide expenditures	11	0.9 (0.4, 1.9)

Abbreviations: ALL, acute lymphocytic leukemia; AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; ANLL, acute nonlymphocytic leukemia; CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; dx, diagnosis; NA, not available in published report. ^aNumber of discordant pairs with exposed cases.

reported that children with greater than two, greater than three, or greater than four indicators of pesticide exposure had ORs of 0.8, 1.7, and 3.1, respectively, in a study of leukemia and lymphoma combined.

Brain Cancer

The role of pesticides in the development of childhood brain cancer was evaluated in one case report, 16 case-control studies, and one cohort study (30,47,48,52,53,68-81) (Table 3). Significant elevations in brain cancer risk related to at least one measure of pesticide exposure were observed in nine studies (30,47,48,71,72,76-79,81). Nonsignificant elevations were observed in an additional five studies (52,53,70,74,75), with deficits or no association reported in three studies (69,73,80). The largest risk estimates, reported by Davis et al. (76), Cordier et al. (77), and Pagoda and Preston-Martin (81), were based on parent-reported use of pesticides in the home or garden or on pets, in contrast to the lower risks associated with parental employment in occupations or industries thought to involve pesticide exposure. Most (30,71,74-76,81), but not all (30,77,79), of the studies that evaluated timing of exposure found greater risks associated with prenatal exposure than for exposures sustained during childhood. Three studies (53,70,76) had both cancer and noncancer control series. In general, the ORs based on noncancer controls were higher than those based on cancer controls.

Exposure-response gradients, although based on crude measures of exposure, were evaluated in the studies of Bunin et al. (78), Kristensen et al. (48), and Pagoda and Preston-Martin (81). Maternal use of household insecticide sprays or other pesticides ever and on at least a weekly basis was associated with ORs of 1.5 and 2.2, respectively (78). Children of fathers engaged in agricultural work had rate ratios (RRs) of 2.0, 2.9, and 3.3 for nonastrocytic neuroepithelial tumors for levels 1, 2, and 3 of pesticide expenditures, respectively (48). Pagoda and Preston-Martin (81) reported increasing risk of childhood brain cancer with the number of pets and the number of hours per day children spent with their pets, presumably a surrogate for increasing exposure to pesticides used on pets.

Neuroblastoma

Table 4 presents three case reports, four case-control studies, and one cohort study with information on pesticides and neuroblastoma (47,49,51,62,82-85). There is little evidence for a role of pesticides

in the etiology of this tumor, with four comparisons showing decreased risks (83-85), two showing nonsignificant excesses of 1.1 and 3.5 (62,85), and only one study with a significant excess (47). Kristensen et al. (47) reported a RR of 2.5 (95% CI 1.0, 6.1), based on seven cases of neuroblastoma, among a cohort of children of Norwegian farmers who grew field vegetables.

Four of the five analytical studies, however, were based solely on potential pesticide exposure imputed from parental employment in agricultural occupations (47,83-85). One study assessed risk associated with parental gardening with pesticides (62). No studies evaluated detailed information on pesticides used in the home prenatally or during childhood.

Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma

The relationship between pesticides and childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphoma was investigated in one case report, six case-control studies, and one cohort study [(30,48,51,60,62,65,66,86); (Table 5)]. Two case-control studies, however, were based on leukemia and lymphoma cases combined with no data presented separately for each histologic type (65,66). Another case-control study was presented at a U.S. National Cancer Institute workshop but has not yet been published (86). Several of the reports did not include the number of total cases or the number of exposed cases (30,51,60,62,86). All appear to have very few exposed cases.

Despite these limited data, there are some notable findings concerning childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and pesticides. Risk increased with level of pesticide expenditures (level 1: RR = 1.3; level 2: RR = 1.6; level 3: RR = 2.5) among a cohort of children of Norwegian farmers (48). Excess non-Hodgkin's lymphoma was observed among children whose homes had been exterminated or had pest strips, although the excesses were not statistically significant except for home extermination during the time period from birth to 2 years prior to diagnosis (30). Buckley (86) reported ORs of 1.0, 2.2, and 5.2 for childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphoma associated with maternal household insecticide use less than once per week, one to two times per week, and daily, respectively. Garden insecticide sprays and home extermination were also associated with excess childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in the same study (86). The study by Mulder et al. (66), based on seven leukemia and seven non-Hodgkin's lymphoma cases combined,

reported increased risk with increasing pesticide exposure of the child or father; however, results for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma alone were not presented.

Wilms' Tumor

The early case-control studies on Wilms' tumor did not report elevated risks associated with possible pesticide exposure, as determined by parental occupational titles only or imputed from occupational titles using job-exposure matrices [(62,87,88); (Table 6)]. The later studies (89,90), which were based on subjects' reports of household or occupational use of pesticides, reported elevated risks. Olshan et al. (89) found that children whose homes had been exterminated had 2.2 times the risk of Wilms' tumor than children in untreated homes. The risk did not increase with the frequency of extermination, however. In a study of Wilms' tumor in Brazil (90), risk increased with frequency of parental agricultural use of pesticides. Children whose fathers or mothers used agricultural pesticides 10 times or more had ORs for Wilms' tumor of 3.2 (95% CI 1.2, 9.0) and 128.6 (95% CI 6.4, 2569), respectively. The risk associated with pesticide use particularly increased among children of parents with longer farming duration.

Ewing's Sarcoma

Reports related to pesticides and Ewing's sarcoma are presented in Table 7 (91-95). Paternal employment as a farmer or in other agricultural occupations was associated with an approximately 9-fold significantly increased risk of Ewing's sarcoma in two studies (93,94) and a nonsignificant 3-fold excess in a third study (95). Parental exposure to pesticides in any occupation was associated with a 6-fold increase of Ewing's sarcoma in children (94). More direct exposure of children to pesticides, either through household extermination, living on a farm or ranch, or through household pets, was associated with modest nonsignificantly elevated ORs less than 1.5 (95) or deficits (94).

Other Malignancies

Table 8 presents data on studies of childhood osteosarcoma (62), soft-tissue sarcoma (30,48,96), colorectal cancer (97-99), testicular cancer (48,100), other germ cell malignancies (101), Hodgkin's disease (48,62), and retinoblastoma (48,102). With three or fewer reports per cancer, little can be definitively concluded about the possible role of pesticides.

PESTICIDES AND CHILDHOOD CANCER

Table 3. Summary of studies on pesticides and childhood brain cancer.

Study design	Reference	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/ comment
Case report	Chadduck et al., 1987 (68)	1	Heptachlor	Pregnancy and nursing	1	Gliosarcoma diagnosed in an infant 7 weeks of age
Case-control	Fabia and Thuy, 1974 (69)	101	Paternal occupation as farmer	Birth	6	0.6 (calculated)
Case-control	Gold et al., 1979 (70)	84	Household extermination	Before diagnosis	16 ^a	2.3 ($p=0.10$), noncancer controls
					14 ^a	1.2 ($p=0.84$), cancer controls
					12 ^a	4.0 (not significant), noncancer controls
Case-control	Hemminki et al., 1981 (52)	282	Paternal occupation as farmer	Pregnancy	9 ^a	1.0 (not significant), cancer controls
					107 ^a	1.2 (not significant)
Case-control	Gold et al., 1982 (53)	70	Paternal occupation as farmer	Before birth	1	vs 0 noncancer controls
				Childhood	1	vs 2 cancer controls
Case-control	Sinks, 1985 (71)	NA	Maternal aerosol pesticide use	Pregnancy	NA	1.7 (significant)
				Childhood		1.6 (significant)
Case-control	Wilkins and Koutras, 1988 (72)	110	Paternal occupation in agriculture	Birth	30	1.8 (0.9, 3.5)
			Agriculture industry		32	2.4 (1.2, 4.9)
Case-control	Howe et al., 1989 (73)	74	Child exposed to herbicides or insecticides	Childhood	19	0.9 (0.5, 1.9)
Case-control	Wilkins and Sinks, 1990 (74)	110	Paternal occupation in agriculture	Preconception	6	2.7 (0.8, 9.1)
				Pregnancy	4	1.6 (0.4, 6.1)
				Childhood	4	0.9 (0.3, 2.9)
			Paternal industry in agriculture, forestry, or fishing	Preconception	8	2.8 (0.9, 8.4)
				Pregnancy	6	2.0 (0.6, 6.6)
				Childhood	6	1.0 (0.3, 2.8)
Case-control	Kuijten et al., 1992 (75)	163	Paternal agricultural industry	Preconception	11 ^a	1.8 (0.6, 6.0)
				Pregnancy	5 ^a	1.0 (0.2, 4.3)
				Childhood	5 ^a	1.3 (0.7, 6.3)
Case-control	Davis et al., 1993 (76)	45	Pesticides at home	7 months to diagnosis	38	3.4 (1.1, 10.6), friend controls
				Pregnancy	8	5.2 (1.2, 22.2), friend controls
				Birth-6 months	6	3.7 (0.9, 15.2), friend controls
			Termiticides	7 months to diagnosis	8	3.7 (1.0, 13.7), friend controls
				Ever	21	2.9 (1.3, 7.1), friend controls
						3.0 (1.3, 7.4), cancer controls
			Kwell	7 months to diagnosis	7	4.6 (1.0, 21.3), friend controls
						1.9 (0.6, 6.9), cancer controls
						5.5 (1.5, 20.0), friend controls
			Flea collar	Birth-6 months	9	4.4 (1.4, 14.3), cancer controls
				7 months to diagnosis	25	2.4 (1.1, 5.6), friend controls
						1.3 (0.6, 2.9), cancer controls
			Garden insecticides	7 months to diagnosis	22	1.6 (0.7, 3.6), friend controls
						2.6 (1.1, 5.9), cancer controls
						2.1 (0.5, 8.3), friend controls
			Flea bombs	Pregnancy	5	6.2 (1.4, 28.4), cancer controls
				7 months to diagnosis	6	1.1 (0.3, 3.1), friend controls
						0.6 (0.2, 2.0), cancer controls
			Carbaryl	Ever	19	1.5 (0.7, 3.3), friend controls
						2.4 (1.1, 5.6), cancer controls
			Diazinon	Ever	7	4.6 (1.2, 17.9), friend controls
						1.4 (0.4, 4.7), cancer controls
			Herbicide	Birth-6 months	15	1.7 (0.7, 3.9), friend controls
				7 months to diagnosis	30	3.4 (1.2, 9.3), cancer controls
Case-control	Cordier et al., 1994 (77)	75	Farm residence	Pregnancy	4	2.5 (0.4, 16.1)
				Childhood	8	6.7 (1.2, 38)
			Home treatment with pesticides	Pregnancy	18	1.8 (0.8, 4.1)
				Childhood	31	2.0 (1.0, 4.1)

(Continued on next page)

Table 3. Continued.

Study design	Reference	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/ comment
Case-control	Bunin et al., 1994 (78)	155 ^b	Maternal household insecticide sprays or pesticides: ever	Pregnancy	34	1.5 (0.8, 2.7)
			At least weekly		8	2.2 (0.6, 7.4)
			Maternal household extermination		24	0.7 (0.4, 1.4)
			Farm residence: maternal	Pregnancy	5	0.5 (0.1, 1.8)
		166 ^c	Child	≥ 1 year childhood	6	0.4 (0.1, 1.6)
			Maternal household insecticide sprays or pesticides: ever	Pregnancy	31	0.7 (0.4, 1.4)
			At least weekly		5	1.0 (0.2, 4.9)
			Maternal household extermination		34	1.0 (0.6, 1.9)
			Farm residence: maternal	Pregnancy	14	3.7 (0.8, 23.9)
			Child	≥ 1 year childhood	14	5.0 (1.1, 46.8)
Case-control	McCredie et al., 1994 (79)	82	Maternal live or work on farm	Pregnancy	5	0.9 (0.3, 2.6)
Case-control	McCredie et al., 1994 (80)	82	House treatment with pesticides		20	2.0 (1.0, 3.9)
			Live or work on farm	Childhood	4	0.6 (0.2, 1.9)
			Regular contact with horses		6	0.7 (0.3, 1.8)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	NA	House pesticide treatment		NA	No association
			Home extermination	Last 3 months pregnancy	8	1.3 (0.7, 2.1)
				Birth-2 years < dx	12	1.4 (0.6, 2.7)
				2 years < dx to dx	5	1.1 (0.4, 3.0)
			Yard pesticide treatment	Last 3 months pregnancy	12	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)
				Birth-2 years < dx	17	0.5 (0.2, 0.9)
				2 years < dx to dx	16	0.5 (0.4, 0.8)
			Pest strips	Last 3 months pregnancy	10	1.5 (0.9, 2.4)
				Birth-2 years < dx	13	1.4 (0.7, 2.9)
				2 years < dx to dx	9	1.8 (1.2, 2.9)
Case-control	Pagoda and Preston-Martin, 1997 (81)	224	Flea and tick treatment	Pregnancy	76	1.7 (1.1, 2.6)
				Diagnosed < 5 years	29	2.5 (1.2, 5.5)
			Spray and foggers	Pregnancy	17	10.8 (1.3, 89.1)
			Termiticides	Pregnancy	5	2.7 (0.5, 14.2)
				Childhood	23	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)
			Nuisance pest pesticides, not otherwise specified	Pregnancy	106	1.1 (0.8, 1.7)
				Childhood	150	1.0 (0.6, 1.5)
			Lice treatments	Pregnancy	2	—
				Childhood	38	0.6 (0.4, 1.0)
			Insecticides	Pregnancy	26	1.3 (0.7, 2.4)
				Childhood	57	1.2 (0.8, 2.0)
			Herbicides	Pregnancy	2	0.9 (0.1, 6.1)
				Childhood	4	1.2 (0.3, 4.9)
			Fungicides	Pregnancy	0	—
				Childhood	1	0.1 (0.0, 1.0)
			Snail killer	Pregnancy	21	1.1 (0.6, 2.1)
				Childhood	41	1.0 (0.6, 1.8)
			Number of pets: 1	Childhood	43	1.4 (0.9, 2.4)
			>1		30	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)
			Number of pets: 1	Diagnosed < 5 years	16	2.0 (0.8, 4.8)
			>1		11	3.5 (1.1, 11.4)
			Hr/day with pet: ≤ 3	Childhood	33	1.1 (0.6, 1.8)
			>3		21	1.9 (0.9, 4.2)
			Hr/day with pet: ≤ 3	Diagnosed < 5 years	10	1.3 (0.5, 3.6)
			>3		8	3.2 (0.8, 12.2)
			No evacuation after spray	Childhood	NA	1.6 (1.0, 2.1)
			No delay in harvesting food after treatment		NA	3.6 (1.0, 13.7)
			Labels not followed		NA	3.7 (1.5, 9.6)
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1995, 1996 (47,48)	323, 292 cohort	Paternal agricultural work: pesticide expenditures ^d	Before birth		
			Ever		31	2.7 (1.6, 4.8) ^e
			Ever		60	1.4 (1.0, 1.9) ^f
			Level 1 ^d		7	2.0 (0.9, 4.7)
			Level 2 ^d		17	2.9 (1.5, 5.6)
			Level 3 ^d		7	3.3 (1.4, 7.8)

^aNumber of discordant pairs with exposed cases. ^bAstrocytoma. ^cPrimitive neuroectodermal tumor. ^dExpenditures—levels are levels of money spent. ^eNonastrocytic gliomas. ^fNonastrocytic neuroepithelioma tumor.

Table 4. Summary of studies on pesticides and neuroblastoma.

Study design	Reference	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/ comment
Case report	Infante and Newton, 1975 (82)	1	Maternal exposure to chlordane, spent 25–30 hr/week in basement with strong odor from household treatment	First trimester of pregnancy	1	Case diagnosed at 2 years, 8 months of age
Case report	Infante et al., 1978 (49)	14	Chlordane	Pregnancy and childhood	5	—
Case report	Moses, 1989 (51)	NA	Residence in McFarland, CA, farm town	Pregnancy and childhood	NA	—
Case-control	Spitz and Johnson, 1985 (83)	157	Paternal occupation in agriculture	Birth	6	0.6 (0.2, 1.4)
Case-control	Wilkins and Hundley, 1990 (84)	101	Paternal occupation in agriculture, forestry, or fishing	At birth	7	0.9 (0.4, 2.2)
			Paternal industry in agriculture, forestry, or fishing		9	0.8 (0.4, 2.0)
Case-control	Bunin et al., 1990 (85)	104	Paternal occupation as farmer	Preconception Pregnancy	7 ^a 2 ^a	3.5 (0.7, 34.5) 0.7 (0.1, 5.8)
Case-control	Schwartzbaum et al., 1991 (62)	104	Parental gardening with pesticides	Childhood	NA	1.1 (not significant)
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1995 (47)	323, 292 cohort	Parental agricultural work	Before birth	7	2.5 (1.0, 6.1)

^aNumber of discordant pairs with exposed cases.**Table 5.** Summary of studies on pesticides and childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

Study design	Reference	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/ comment
Case report	Moses, 1989 (51)	NA	Residence in McFarland, CA, farm town	Pregnancy and childhood	NA	—
Case-control	Magnani et al., 1990 (60)	19	Parental occupation as farmer	Pregnancy and childhood	NA	No association
Case-control	Schwartzbaum et al., 1991 (62)	104	Parental gardening with pesticides	Birth to diagnosis	NA	1.3 (not significant)
Case-control	Buckley, 1991 (86)	NA	Maternal household insecticide use:	Pregnancy	NA	
			< 1/week			1.0
			1–2/week			2.2
			Daily			5.2
			Garden insecticide spraying:			
			< 1/month			4.2
Case-control	Roman et al., 1993 (65)	39 ^a 11 ^b 6 ^c	> 1/month			2.1
			Home extermination			2.8
			Paternal occupation in agriculture	Birth At interview	11 ^d 15 ^d	Results are for leukemia and lymphoma combined
			Pesticide exposure: child	Ever	2	1.3 (0.1, 11.4)
				≥ 3 hr/week	2	6.0 (0.3, 368.3)
			Paternal	Ever	6	1.0 (0.2, 6.1)
Case-control	Mulder et al., 1994 (66)	7, 7 ^d		≥ 3 hr/week	5	2.1 (0.4, 12.5)
			Summary pesticide indicator	> 2 indicators	5	0.8 (0.1, 4.4)
				> 3 indicators	4	1.7 (0.3, 10.5)
				> 4 indicators	3	3.1 (0.3, 28.3)
			Home extermination	Last 3 months of pregnancy	4	1.2 (0.4, 3.9)
				Pregnancy–2 years < dx	9	1.8 (1.1, 2.9)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	NA	Yard treatment	2 years < dx	6	1.6 (0.9, 2.9)
				Last 3 months of pregnancy	6	0.5 (0.2, 1.2)
				Pregnancy–2 years < dx	15	0.8 (0.3, 1.8)
				2 years < dx	10	0.6 (0.4, 1.0)
			Pest strips	Last 3 months of pregnancy	5	1.4 (0.7, 2.5)
				Pregnancy–2 years < dx	7	1.3 (0.4, 2.7)
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1996 (48)	323, 292 cohort	Parental agricultural work, census pesticide expenditures	2 years < dx	4	1.1 (0.6, 1.9)
			Level 1 ^e	Before birth		
			Level 2 ^e		5	1.3 (0.5, 3.4)
			Level 3 ^e		10	1.6 (0.8, 3.3)
			Horticultural/pesticide products		6	2.5 (1.0, 6.2)
					11	2.1 (1.0, 4.3)

^aALL. ^bOther leukemia. ^cNon-Hodgkin's lymphoma. ^dLeukemia and lymphoma combined. ^eLevels of money spent.

Table 6. Summary of studies on pesticides and Wilms' tumor.

Study design	Reference	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/comment
Case report	Moses, 1989 (51)	NA	Residence in McFarland, CA, farm town	Pregnancy and childhood	NA	—
Case-control	Kantor et al., 1979 (87)	149	Paternal occupation as farmer	Birth	1	vs 8/145 controls
Case-control	Wilkins and Sinks, 1984 (88)	62	Paternal occupational exposure: DDT Ethylene dibromide Endrin Insecticides, not otherwise specified	At birth	3 3 3 1	0.4 (not significant) 1.0 (not significant) 0.4 (not significant) 0.3 (not significant)
Case-control	Schwartzbaum et al., 1991 (62)	101	Parental gardening with pesticides	Birth to diagnosis	NA	0.7 (not significant)
Case-control	Olshan et al., 1993 (89)	200	Household insecticide extermination	Childhood: ever Once/year Twice or more/year	78 33 31	2.2 (1.2, 3.8) 2.4 (1.1, 5.1) 2.2 (0.9, 5.1)
Case-control	Sharpe et al., 1995 (90)	109	Agricultural use of pesticides: Maternal: < 10 times ≥ 10 times Paternal: < 10 times ≥ 10 times Paternal farmwork: 0–24 months: no exposure Exposed 25–48 months: no exposure Exposed 49–108 months: no exposure Exposed Maternal farmwork: 0–24 months: no exposure Exposed 25–48 months: no exposure Exposed 49–108 months: no exposure Exposed	Before birth	2 6 6 15 3 5 16 6 4 10 7 2 15 1 5 5	Gender difference ^a 0.3 (0.1, 2.3) 128.6 (6.4, 2569) 2.7 (0.8, 9.8) 3.2 (1.2, 9.0) 0.6 (0.1, 2.4) 0.9 (0.2, 4.8) 2.9 (0.9, 9.0) 4.8 (1.0, 22.4) 1.0 (0.2, 4.3) 4.1 (1.0, 17.5) 1.3 (0.4, 4.4) 0.5 (0.0, 4.6) 2.3 (0.9, 5.9) 2.2 (0.1, 38.3) 0.3 (0.1, 1.2) 14.8 (2.2, 98.8)
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1995, 1996 (47,48)	323, 292 cohort	Parental agricultural work, census pesticide expenditures Orchards or greenhouse Pesticide spraying Orchards or greenhouse and pesticide spraying	Before birth	4 4 9 4	8.9 (2.7, 29.5) 4.8 (1.6, 14.7) 2.5 (1.0, 6.6) 8.9 (2.7, 29.5)

^aIn general, risks were higher for boys than for girls.**Table 7.** Summary of studies on pesticides and Ewing's sarcoma.

Study design	Reference	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/comment
Case report	Holman et al., 1983 (91)	6	Rural residents, exposure to farm animals and agricultural exposures	Childhood	6	Ages 12–34
Case report	Zamora et al., 1986 (92)	2	Paternal occupation in agriculture, contact with farm animals	Childhood	2	Two brothers diagnosed at 8 and 15 years of age
Case-control	Daigle, 1987 (93)	98	Paternal occupation in agriculture	At conception Childhood	NA NA	9.0 (significant) 9.0 (significant)
Case-control	Schwartzbaum et al., 1991 (62)	49	Parental gardening with pesticides	Childhood	NA	1.1 (not significant)
Case-control	Holly et al., 1992 (94)	43	Paternal occupation in agriculture Paternal exposure to herbicides, pesticides, fertilizers Household extermination	6 months < conception to dx Pregnancy Childhood	7 7 1 15	8.8 (1.8, 42.7) 6.1 (1.7, 21.9) 0.3 (0.02, 2.1) 0.6 (0.3, 1.2)
Case-control	Winn et al. 1992 (95)	208	Paternal occupation as farmer Lived on farm or ranch Pets Household extermination	Pregnancy Usual occupation Childhood Childhood Pregnancy	13 14 43 160 60	2.2 (0.7, 6.5) 3.1 (0.9, 9.5) 1.4 (0.8, 2.4) 1.5 (0.9, 2.4) 1.3 (0.8, 2.1)

Table 8. Summary of studies on pesticides and childhood osteosarcoma, soft-tissue sarcoma, colorectal cancer, germ cell cancer, Hodgkin's disease, and retinoblastoma.

Study design	Reference	Cancer	Total cases, no.	Exposure	Timing of exposure	Exposed cases, no.	Risk estimate/comment
Case-control	Schwartzbaum et al., 1991 (62)	Osteosarcoma	78	Parental gardening with pesticides	Birth to diagnosis	NA	2.6 ($p=0.01$)
Case-control	Magnani et al., 1989 (96)	Soft-tissue sarcoma	52	Maternal farming occupation	Ever before birth	2	7.0 (1.5, 33.2)
					Birth to diagnosis	2	17.2 (3.3, 88.9)
Case-control	Leiss and Savitz, 1995 (30)	Soft-tissue sarcoma	NA	Yard pesticide treatment	Last 3 months of pregnancy	10	0.8 (0.5, 1.3)
					Birth-2 years < dx	14	4.1 (1.0, 16.0)
					2 years < dx to dx	10	3.9 (1.7, 9.2)
				Home extermination	Last 3 months of pregnancy	1	0.3 (0.0, 18)
					Birth-2 years < dx	2	0.5 (0.1, 24)
					2 years < dx to dx	1	0.7 (0.1, 5.3)
				Pest strips	Last 3 months of pregnancy	2	0.6 (0.1, 2.6)
					Birth-2 years < dx	2	0.5 (0.1, 2.3)
					2 years < dx to dx	0	—
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1996 (48)	Soft-tissue sarcoma	323, 292 cohort	Parental agricultural work	Before birth	16	0.9 (0.5, 1.5)
				Pesticide spraying equipment		8	1.3 (0.5, 2.9)
Case report	Pratt et al., 1977 (97)	Colorectal	13	Chemicals used in production of cotton and soybeans	Childhood	9	—
Case report	Pratt et al., 1987 (98)	Colorectal	1	Environmental dioxin in Missouri	Childhood	1	—
Case-control	Caldwell et al., 1981 (99)	Colorectal	10	Serum levels of DDT, dieldrin, chlordane, heptachlor	Diagnosis	10	Generally, cases had higher levels than controls; cases were from rural area
Case-control	Mills et al., 1984 (100)	Germ cell (testes)	347	Farming occupation	Ever	18	6.3 (1.8, 21.5)
Case-control	Shu et al., 1995 (101)	Germ cell	105	Insecticides or herbicides:	Ever		
				Maternal		6	2.4 (0.9, 6.9)
				Paternal		6	1.8 (0.7, 5.0)
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1996 (48)	Germ cell (testes)	323, 292 cohort	Parental agricultural work: pesticides	Ever	97	1.2 (1.0, 1.5)
					Before birth	10	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)
Case-control	Schwartzbaum et al., 1991 (62)	Hodgkin's disease	133	Parental gardening with pesticides	Childhood	NA	1.4 (not significant)
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1990 (48)	Hodgkin's disease	323, 292 cohort	Parental agricultural work: Pesticide use	Before birth	46	1.2 (0.8, 1.6)
				Pesticide spraying equipment		22	1.3 (0.8, 2.1)
Case-control	Bunin et al., 1990 (102)	Retinoblastoma	182	Maternal grandfather occupation: Farmer or farm worker	At mother's birth	3 ^a	1.0 (0.1, 7.5), sporadic heritable
				Farm worker		10 ^a	10.0 (1.4, 433), nonheritable
Cohort	Kristensen et al., 1996 (48)	Eye	323, 292 cohort	Parental agricultural work: Ever	Before birth	9	0.8 (0.4, 1.6)
				Field work and pesticide purchases		4	3.2 (0.9, 10.9)

^aNumber of discordant pairs with exposed cases.

Leiss et al. (30) found a 4-fold increased risk of soft-tissue sarcoma among children whose yards had been treated with pesticides during their childhood, but not if the treatment occurred prenatally. Kristensen et al. (48) found little evidence for an increased risk of soft-tissue sarcoma in children of Norwegian farmers. The farming status was ascertained before the children's births, not at birth or during childhood, but little change probably occurred. Magnani et al. (96) found elevated risks of soft-tissue

sarcoma among children whose mothers were farmers either before birth or between birth and diagnosis, but the numbers of exposed cases were extremely small.

Nine of 13 extremely rare cases of colorectal cancer among children had exposure to insecticides used in the production of cotton and soybeans (97). A case-control study of rural children with colorectal cancer found that cases had higher serum levels of DDT, dieldrin, chlordane, and heptachlor than controls (99).

Testicular cancer, with peak incidence at 20 to 39 years of age, is not typically considered a childhood cancer. The tumor, however, is likely to have been initiated during the prenatal or childhood period. Mills et al. (100) found a 6-fold excess of testicular cancer among men employed as farmers or farmworkers. Kristensen et al. (48), however, found no excess of testicular cancer among children whose parents were farmers. Parental exposures to pesticides were associated with nonsignificant

excesses of other germ cell malignancies in a study by Shu et al. (101).

Two studies of Hodgkin's disease reported small nonsignificant excesses among children whose parents used pesticides occupationally or in the garden (48,62). A 10-fold risk of nonheritable retinoblastoma was observed among children whose maternal grandfather was a farmer or farmworker at the time of the mother's birth (102). There was no excess risk observed for sporadic heritable retinoblastoma.

Methodologic Issues

Based on the research to date on the role of pesticides in the etiology of childhood cancers, little can be definitively concluded, particularly for specific pesticides. There are methodologic issues that limit the informativeness or affect the interpretation of most of the studies in this review.

Case Definition

Many types of childhood cancer are comprised of heterogeneous histologic subtypes. For example, childhood leukemia consists of ALL, AML, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and other forms. Soft-tissue sarcoma includes rhabdomyosarcoma, fibrosarcoma, and other types. If these subtypes have different etiologies, grouping them may mask associations. If chronic lymphocytic leukemia is associated with pesticide use but ALL, which is far more common, is not, then studies of all childhood leukemia combined may not show any excess risk.

Similarly, there may be different exposures or different impact from the same exposure by age at diagnosis. Leukemia among infants under 1 year of age may be a different disease with different etiology than leukemia diagnosed at older ages. Buckley et al. (58) reported ORs of 1.7 to 7.0 for acute nonlymphocytic leukemia associated with parental pesticide use for all ages combined, but an OR of 11.4 for cases diagnosed under 6 years of age. The pesticide association was also stronger among brain cancer cases diagnosed under 5 years of age in the study by Pagoda and Preston-Martin (81). Larger studies with the ability to evaluate exposures by histology and other case characteristics may result in increased sensitivity and more informative studies.

Choice of Controls

The case-control studies of pesticides and childhood cancer have generally used one or more of four types of controls: general population controls, friends, siblings, or other cancer cases. General population controls

have been criticized for introducing possible recall, or case-response, bias. Childhood cancer-case parents, who have probably anxiously pondered possible reasons for their child's disease, may report exposures that parents of healthy children, who have not been vigorously examining their past exposures, may fail to remember and report. False positive associations may be observed. Using friends of the cases as controls may result in overmatching on exposure status. Friends may have parents in similar occupations, may live in the same neighborhoods, attend the same school, and may play on the same pesticide-treated soccer fields. False negative results may be observed. Sibling controls would suffer even more from overmatching. Using other cancer cases as controls should minimize recall bias because the parents of both the case and the control children are equally motivated to recall and report their children's exposures. If pesticides are also associated with the other cancer with which the controls are diagnosed, however, false negative results may occur. For example, some childhood brain cancer studies had other childhood cancer cases for controls, which, given childhood cancer patterns, must have been almost entirely leukemia cases. If leukemia is associated with pesticide exposure, little elevation in risk would have been apparent among the brain cancer cases even if pesticides truly played a role.

More information on the extent of recall bias, if any, is needed and more objective methods of obtaining exposure information must be developed so we can use general population controls, which appear to maximize the sensitivity of childhood cancer studies.

Exposure Assessment

Most of the studies on childhood cancer and pesticides were based on crude exposure information with little specificity in pesticide type or amount. The most specific data were presented in case reports. The analytical epidemiologic studies were generally based on measures such as parental occupation, self-reported or imputed parental occupational exposure to pesticides (not otherwise specified), farm crop, type of livestock, broad pesticide class (e.g., insecticide), or pesticide product type (e.g., flea powder). The more crude and encompassing the exposure classifications are, the greater possibility that the increased risks from individual pesticides or chemical classes of pesticides will be diluted and go

undetected. In addition, crude exposure measures may reflect a nonpesticide risk factor.

Examination of dose- or exposure-response relationships can aid interpretation of causality. Evidence of an exposure-response gradient decreases the likelihood that an association is due to chance. Some childhood cancer studies of pesticides have used these surrogate measures for dose: duration in occupation with pesticide exposure, total number of average frequency of pesticide applications, number of pets, number of hours with pets, number of hours in treated homes, farming census data on pesticide expenditures, and biologic measures. Modifications of risk by protective practices, such as staying in the home after pesticide treatment, lack of delay in harvesting food after treatment, and failing to follow pesticide label application instructions were also used as crude indicators of exposure amount (81).

The studies by Scheele et al. (63) and Caldwell et al. (99) were based on measures of pesticides and their metabolites in biologic specimens. Biologic measures avoid the problems of recall bias and lack of specificity of pesticide type, but may be affected by disease or treatment and generally reflect only very recent exposures. Compounds for which biologic measures reflect lifetime exposures are limited generally to the persistent organochlorines. Biologic measures for lifetime exposure to pesticides that are more quickly metabolized and excreted are not available.

One ongoing study of childhood cancer is measuring potential household pesticide exposure by analyzing pesticide residues in carpet dust collected by high-volume surface sampler vacuums (103). Pesticide residues indoors are protected from degradation by the sun and microbial activity and therefore are more persistent than pesticide residues outdoors. This approach can give a picture of cumulative exposure to some of the more persistent pesticides such as organochlorine insecticides, but does not assess exposure to short-lived volatile chemicals.

Children living near agricultural lands treated with pesticides have higher levels of pesticides in their homes than children of nonfarm families living away from agricultural land (10). Pesticide levels in house dust were inversely correlated with the distance of the home from sprayed orchards. Pesticide detections in groundwater also have been associated with the proximity to sprayed crops (104). Methods have been developed to use remote sensing

(i.e., satellite images) and geographic information systems to characterize the types of crops near the subjects' residences (105,106). By combining the crop pattern data and crop-specific pesticide use information with the proximity of residence to cropland, the probability of exposure to individual pesticides can be estimated (107). This technique was used to reconstruct exposure for a short and recent time frame in a study of pesticide exposure and low birth rate in Colorado (106) and for historical exposures from the 1980s using satellite imagery and historical U.S. Farm Service Administration records in a pilot study in Nebraska (107). These techniques have not yet been used in childhood cancer research, but may enhance future efforts.

Indirect measures of potential exposure may be less preferable than direct home or biologic measurements. Direct measures, however, are usually expensive and often difficult to obtain in large studies with hundreds or thousands of subjects. In addition, direct measures usually reflect recent exposures, whereas historical data, even if indirect, may be more important for diseases of long latency.

More studies with crude exposure assessments (e.g., pesticides, not otherwise specified) will not make major contributions to our understanding or to prevention strategies. To facilitate epidemiologic research on specific pesticides, improvements are needed to identify the type and amount of pesticide exposure, including validity and reliability studies. In addition, continued efforts should be made to make information available on the identity of the so-called inert ingredients in pesticide formulations. These ingredients, although not responsible for the pesticidal action of the formulations, are not biologically inert and can be extremely important when trying to correctly assess the carcinogenic potential of a pesticide.

Timing of Exposure

Some childhood cancer studies have evaluated pesticide exposure during critical time periods such as preconception (e.g., ever, 3 months prior to conception, and 6 months prior to conception), during pregnancy (e.g., ever, the first trimester, and the last trimester), and postnatally, including while nursing, during infancy, and at specified numbers of years prior to diagnosis.

Information on time periods of higher risk might provide insight into mechanism, such as whether there had been a germ line versus somatic mutation, or whether risks were related to age-dependent metabolic

capabilities. Such information might also influence judgments concerning causality. Large numbers of subjects are needed, with variation in timing, to evaluate whether risks differ by time period. Most studies conducted to date, however, have a small number of subjects, with most subjects exposed preconception through diagnosis, offering little chance of identifying when pesticides might act to initiate the cancer under investigation.

Genetic-Environmental Interactions

Within the population there are subgroups of children who may differ in their susceptibility to cancer because of genetically determined metabolic polymorphisms or by mutations in major cancer genes. Among adults, genetics play a role in the ability to metabolize pesticides. At least a 15-fold difference in the ability to detoxify organophosphate insecticides has been observed (27). Metabolic polymorphisms important to pesticide carcinogenicity may also exist and should be investigated. A family history of cancer, a crude measure of genetic susceptibility, appeared to enhance the carcinogenic effects of pesticides in case-control studies of adults (108,109). Similar research among children should be conducted.

Statistical Power

The statistical power of existing studies on childhood cancer and pesticides is limited. Most studies had small numbers of cases, typically in the range of 50 to 200 subjects, with most comparisons based on less than 20, and usually less than 10, exposed cases. These numbers are insufficient to evaluate dose response, timing of exposure, multiple pesticide exposures, or genetic-environment interactions. Large national or international efforts will be needed to provide enough exposed cases to adequately address these issues. Studies of intermediate effects such as chromosomal aberrations and DNA adducts, which may be more prevalent than cancer, may be informative and should be considered.

Strength of Association

Most of the methodologic limitations noted for existing studies on childhood cancer and pesticides would cause studies to underestimate risk. For example, heterogeneity of disease, poor exposure assessment, and use of cancer controls would bias true positive associations toward the null. Despite these limitations and the almost certain underestimation of risks that is occurring, it is striking that many of the reported increased risks

are of greater magnitude than those observed in studies of pesticide-exposed adults (110). For example, childhood studies have reported increases in risk as large as 4- to 9-fold for leukemia (55,58) and 6- to 7-fold for brain cancer (76,77), whereas studies of farmers and other exposed adults have rarely reported relative risks greater than 2 (110). Children may be particularly sensitive to possible carcinogenic effects of pesticides. This is of concern, given the children working on farms and the high prevalence of use of pesticides in the home in the general population.

Conclusions

Many of the cancers associated with pesticides among children, such as leukemia, brain cancer, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, soft-tissue sarcoma, and Hodgkin's disease, are the same cancers that are repeatedly associated with pesticide exposure among adults (110), suggesting that a role among children is highly plausible. Furthermore, although the research has been limited by nonspecific pesticide exposure information, small numbers of exposed subjects, potential for recall bias, and a small number of studies for most cancers, the magnitude of the risks is often greater than among adults, indicating greater susceptibility.

There is a need to study and better quantify these exposures. Studies must entail sophisticated exposure assessment, such as that used in epidemiologic studies of occupational exposures and adult cancers, and consideration of possible genetic and environmental interactions.

Future research should incorporate, where appropriate, techniques such as prospectively collected parental use of pesticides in agriculture, more detailed occupational histories, environmental measures for pesticide residues, geographic information systems, and biologic measures of pesticides and their metabolites. Special heavily exposed populations such as children of migrant farmworkers should be studied (111,112).

Although research is underway to characterize the risks of childhood cancer associated with pesticides and identify the specific pesticides responsible, it is prudent to reduce or, where possible, eliminate pesticide exposure to children, given their increased vulnerability and susceptibility. In particular, efforts should be focused to reduce exposure to pesticides used in homes and gardens and on lawns and public lands, which are the major sources of pesticide exposure for most children.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Blair A, Axelson O, Franklin C, Paynter OE, Pearce N, Stevenson D, Trosko JE, Vainio H, Williams G, Woods J, et al. Carcinogenic effects of pesticides. In: *Advances in Modern Environmental Toxicology* (Mehlman MA, ed). Vol XVIII: The Effects of Pesticides on Human Health (Baker SR, Wilkinson CF, eds). Princeton, NJ:Princeton Scientific, 1990;201-260.
- IARC. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Vol 1-69. Lyon:International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1972-1997.
- IARC. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Vol 53: Occupational Exposures in Insecticide Application, and Some Pesticides. Lyon:International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1991.
- U.S. EPA Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Suspended, Canceled, and Restricted Pesticides. Rpt no 1990-259-158. Washington:U.S. Government Printing Office, 1990.
- U.S. EPA Office of Pesticide Programs (updated 1 August 1997). List of Pesticides Banned and Severely Restricted in the US [Online]. <http://www.epa.gov/oppfead1/international/piclist.htm>
- Moses M, Johnson ES, Anger WK, Burse VW, Horstman SW, Jackson RJ, Lewis RG, Maddy KT, McConnell R, Meggs WJ, et al. Environmental equity and pesticide exposure. *Toxicol Ind Health* 9:913-959 (1993).
- Nigg HN, Beier RC, Carter O, Chaisson C, Franklin C, Lavy T, Lewis RG, Lombardo P, McCarthy JF, Maddy KT. Exposure to pesticides. In: *Advances in Modern Environmental Toxicology* (Mehlman MA, ed). Vol XVIII: The Effects of Pesticides on Human Health (Baker SR, Wilkinson CF, eds). Princeton, NJ:Princeton Scientific, 1990;35-130.
- Murphy RS, Kutz FW, Strassman SC. Selected pesticide residues or metabolites in blood and urine specimens from a general population survey. *Environ Health Perspect* 48:81-86 (1983).
- Camann DE. Carpet dust: an indicator of exposure at home to pesticides, PAHs, and tobacco smoke [Abstract]. Presented at the International Society of Environmental Engineering/International Society of Exposure Assessment Joint Conference, 18-21 September 1994, Research Triangle Park, NC, 1994; 141.
- Simcox NJ, Fenske RA, Wolz SA, Lee IC, Kalman DA. Pesticides in household dust and soil: exposure pathways for children of agricultural families. *Environ Health Perspect* 103:1126-1134 (1995).
- Camann DE, Geno PW, Harding HJ, Giardino NJ, Bond AE, Lewis RG, Akland GG. A pilot study of pesticides in indoor air in relation to agricultural applications. In: *Indoor Air '93, Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference, Helsinki, Finland*. Vol 2. Solna, Sweden:National Library for Working Life, 1993;207-212.
- Nielsen EG, Lee LK. The Magnitude and Costs of Groundwater Contamination from Agricultural Chemicals: A National Perspective. *Agricultural Economic Rpt* no 576. Washington:U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1987.
- U.S. EPA Office of Water, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Summary results of EPA's national survey of pesticides in drinking water wells. In: *National Pesticide Survey*. Washington:U.S. Government Printing Office, 1990;1-16.
- Wiles R, Cohen B, Campbell C, Elderkin S. Tap Water Blues: Herbicides in Drinking Water. Washington:Environmental Working Group, 1994.
- Cohen B, Wiles R, Condon E. Executive summary. In: *Weed Killers by the Glass: A Citizens' Tap Water Monitoring Project in 29 Cities*. Washington:Environmental Working Group, 1995;1-5.
- Kloos H. 1,2 Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP) and ethylene dibromide (EDB) in well water in the Fresno/Clovis metropolitan area, California. *Arch Environ Health* 51:291-299 (1996).
- University of California Berkeley School of Public Health. *Pesticide Contamination of Groundwater in California*. Berkeley, CA:University of California, 1995.
- Goolsby DA, Thurman EM, Pomes ML, Meyers MT, Battaglin WA. Herbicides and their metabolites in rainfall: origin, transport and deposition patterns across the midwestern and northeastern United States, 1990-1991. *Environ Sci Technol* 31:1325-1333 (1997).
- Immerman FW, Firestone MP. Non-Occupational Pesticides Exposure Study (NOPES). Summary Report. Rpt no RTI/136/01-03D. Research Triangle Park, NC:Research Triangle Institute, 1989.
- Physicians for Social Responsibility. *Pesticides and Children: What the Pediatric Practitioner Should Know*. Washington: Physicians for Social Responsibility, 1995;1-8.
- National Research Council. *Pesticides in the Diet of Infants and Children*. Washington:National Academy Press, 1993.
- Wiles R, Davies K, Campbell C. *Overexposed: Organophosphate Insecticides in Children's Food*. Washington:Environmental Working Group/The Tides Center, 1998.
- Wiles R, Davies K. *Pesticides in Baby Food*. Washington: Environmental Working Group, 1995.
- Gladden BC, Rogan WC. DDE and shortened duration of lactation in a northern Mexican town. *Am J Public Health* 85:504-508 (1995).
- Rogan WJ, Gladden BC. Study of human lactation for effects of environmental contaminants: the North Carolina Breast Milk and Formula Project and some other ideas. *Environ Health Perspect* 60:215-221 (1985).
- Hill RH Jr, To T, Holler JS, Fast DM, Smith SJ, Needham LL, Binder S. Residues of chlorinated phenols and phenoxy acid herbicides in the urine of Arkansas children. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 18:469-474 (1989).
- Grossman J. What's hiding under the sink: dangers of household pesticides. *Environ Health Perspect* 103:550-554 (1995).
- Whitmore RW, Kelly JE, Reading PL. *The National Home and Garden Pesticide Survey. Vol 1. Executive summary, results, and recommendations*. Prepared by Research Triangle Institute. Rpt no RTI/5100/17-01F. Washington:U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1992.
- Savage EP, Keefe TJ, Wheeler HW, Mounce LM, Helwig L, Applehaus F, Goes E, Goes T, Mihlam G, Rouch J. Household pesticide usage in the United States. *Arch Environ Health* 36:304-309 (1981).
- Leiss JK, Savitz DA. Home pesticide use and childhood cancer: a case-control study. *Am J Public Health* 85:249-252 (1995).
- Reeves JD. Household insecticide-associated blood dyscrasias in children. *Am J Hematol Oncol* 4:438-439 (1982).
- Harris SA, Solomon KR. Human exposure to 2,4-D following controlled activities on recently sprayed turf. *J Environ Sci Health B27:9-22* (1992).
- Harris SA, Solomon KR, Stephenson GR. Exposure of homeowners and bystanders to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D). *J Environ Sci Health B27:23-38* (1992).
- General Accounting Office. *Lawn Care Pesticides: Risks Remain Uncertain While Prohibited Safety Claims Continue*. U.S. GAO/RCED-90-134. Washington:U.S. Government Printing Office, 1990.
- Stevens WK. Public said to disregard dangers of manicuring the greensward. *The New York Times*, 17 April 1990.
- Hayes HM, Tarone RE, Cantor KP, Jessen CR, McCurnin DM, Richardson RC. Case-control study of canine malignant lymphoma: positive association with dog owner's use of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid herbicides. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 83:1226-1231 (1991).
- Pimental D, McLaughlin L, Zepp A, Lakitan B, Kraus T, Kleinman P, Vancini F, Roach WJ, Graap E, Keeton WS, et al. Environmental and economic impacts of reducing U.S.

- agricultural pesticide use. In: *Handbook of Pest Management in Agriculture*. 2nd ed. Vol 1 (Pimentel D, ed). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1991;679-680.
38. Reynolds PM, Reif JS, Ramsdell HS, Tessari JD. Canine exposure to herbicide-treated lawns and urinary excretion of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 3:233-237 (1994).
 39. Nishioka M, Burkholder HM, Brinkham MC, Gordon SM, Lewis RG. Measuring transport of lawn-applied herbicide acids from turf to home: correlation of dislodgeable 2,4-D turf residues with carpet dust and carpet surface residues. *Environ Sci Technology* 30:3313-3320 (1996).
 40. Davies JE, Edmundson WF, Raffonelli A. Role of household dust in human DDT pollution. *Am J Public Health* 65:53-57 (1975).
 41. Lewis RG, Fortmann RC, Camann DE. Evaluation of methods for monitoring the potential exposure of small children to pesticides in the residential environment. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 26:1-10 (1994).
 42. Starr HG, Aldrich FD, McDougall WD III, Mounce LM. Contribution of household dust to the human exposure to pesticides. *Pestic Monit J* 8:209-211 (1974).
 43. Whitmore RW, Immerman FW, Camann DE, Bond AE, Lewis RG, Schaum JL. Non-occupational exposures to pesticides for residents of two U.S. cities. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 26:47-59 (1994).
 44. Fenske RA. Differences in exposure potential for adults and children following residential insecticide applications. In: *Similarities and Differences between Children and Adults: Implications for Risk Assessment* (Guzelian PS, Henry CJ, Olin SS, eds). Washington: ILSI Press, 1992;214-225.
 45. Gurunathan S, Robson M, Freeman N, Buckley B, Roy A, Meyer R, Bukowski J, Lioy P. Accumulation of chlorpyrifos in residential surfaces and toys accessible to children. *Environ Health Perspect* 106:9-16 (1998).
 46. Grufferman S. Commentary: Methodologic approaches to studying environmental factors in childhood cancer. *Environ Health Perspect* 106(Suppl 3):881-886 (1998).
 47. Kristensen P, Andersen A, Irgens LM. Childhood cancer at young age and pesticide use on the parents' farm: a register linkage study in Norway [Abstract]. *Epidemiology* 6:S125 (1995).
 48. Kristensen P, Andersen A, Irgens LM, Bye AS, Sundheim L. Cancer in offspring of parents engaged in agricultural activities in Norway: incidence and risk factors in the farm environment. *Int J Cancer* 65:39-50 (1996).
 49. Infante P, Epstein SS, Newton WA Jr. Blood dyscrasias and childhood tumors and exposure to chlordane and heptachlor. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 4:137-150 (1978).
 50. Reeves JD, Driggers DA, Kiley VA. Household insecticide associated aplastic anaemia and acute leukaemia in children [Letter]. *Lancet* 8241:300 (1981).
 51. Moses M. Pesticide-related health problems and farmworkers. *Am Assoc Occup Health Nurses J* 37:115-130 (1989).
 52. Hemminki K, Saloniemi I, Salonen T, Partanen T, Vainio H. Childhood cancer and parental occupation in Finland. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 35:11-15 (1981).
 53. Gold EB, Diener MD, Szklo M. Parental occupations and cancer in children: a case-control study and review of the methodologic issues. *J Occup Med* 24:578-584 (1982).
 54. VanSteensel-Moll HA, Valkenburg HA, Van Zanen GE. Childhood leukemia and parental occupation: a register-based case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol* 121:216-224 (1985).
 55. Lowengart RA, Peters JM, Cicioni C, Buckley J, Bernstein L, Preston-Martin S, Rappaport E. Childhood leukemia and parents' occupation and home exposures. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 79:39-46 (1987).
 56. Laval G, Tuyns AJ. Environmental factors in childhood leukaemia. *Br J Ind Med* 45:843-844 (1988).
 57. Shu XO, Gao YT, Brinton LA, Linet MS, Tu JT, Zheng W, Fraumeni JF Jr. A population-based case-control study of childhood leukemia in Shanghai. *Cancer* 62:635-644 (1988).
 58. Buckley JD, Robison LL, Swotinsky R, Garabrant DH, LeBeau M, Manchester P, Nesbit ME, Odom L, Peters JM, Woods WG, et al. Occupational exposures of parents of children with acute nonlymphocytic leukemia: a report from the Children's Cancer Study Group. *Cancer Res* 49:4030-4037 (1989).
 59. Gardner MJ, Snee MP, Hall AJ, Powell CA, Downes S, Terrell JD. Results of case-control study of leukaemia and lymphoma among young people near Sellafield nuclear plant in West Cumbria. *Br Med J* 300:423-439 (1990).
 60. Magnani C, Pastore G, Luzatto L, Terracini B. Parental occupational and other environmental factors in the etiology of leukemias and non-Hodgkin's lymphomas in childhood: a case-control study. *Tumori* 76:413-419 (1990).
 61. Infante-Rivard C, Mur P, Armstrong B, Alvarez-Dardet C, Bolumar F. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia among Spanish children and mothers' occupation: a case-control study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 45:11-15 (1991).
 62. Schwartzbaum JA, George SL, Pratt CB, Davis B. An exploratory study of environmental and medical factors potentially related to childhood cancer. *Med Pediatric Oncology* 19:115-121 (1991).
 63. Scheele J, Teufel M, Niessen KH. Chlorinated hydrocarbons in the bone marrow of children: studies on their association with leukaemia. *Eur J Pediatr* 151:802-805 (1992).
 64. Deschamps M, Band P. Study of a cluster of childhood leukemia. *Stat Canada Health Rep* 5:81-85 (1993).
 65. Roman E, Watson A, Beral V, Buckle S, Bull D, Baker K, Ryder H, Barton C. Case-control study of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma among children aged 0-4 living in West Berkshire and North Hampshire health districts. *Br Med J* 306:615-621 (1993).
 66. Mulder YM, Drijver M, Kreis IA. Case-control study on the association between a cluster of childhood haematopoietic malignancies and local environmental factors in Aalsmeer, The Netherlands. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 48:161-165 (1994).
 67. Meinert R, Kaatsch P, Kaletsch U, Krummenauer F, Miesner A, Michaelis J. Childhood leukaemia and exposure to pesticides: results of a case-control study in Northern Germany. *Eur J Cancer* 32A:1943-1948 (1996).
 68. Chadduck WM, Gollin SM, Gray BA, Norris JS, Araoz CA, Tryka AF. Gliosarcoma with chromosome abnormalities in a neonate exposed to heptachlor. *Neurosurgery* 21:557-559 (1987).
 69. Fabia J, Thuy TD. Occupation of father at time of birth of children dying of malignant diseases. *Br J Prev Soc Med* 28:98-100 (1974).
 70. Gold E, Gordis L, Tonascia J, Szklo M. Risk factors for brain tumors in children. *Am J Epidemiol* 109:309-319 (1979).
 71. Sinks TH Jr. N-Nitroso compounds, pesticides, and parental exposures in the workplace as risk factors for childhood brain cancer: a case-control study. Ph.D. dissertation. Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 1985.
 72. Wilkins JR, Koutras RA. Paternal occupation and brain cancer in offspring: a mortality-based case-control study. *Am J Ind Med* 14:299-318 (1988).
 73. Howe GR, Burch JD, Chiarelli AM, Risch HA, Choi BCK. An exploratory case-control study of brain tumors in children. *Cancer Res* 49:4349-4352 (1989).
 74. Wilkins JR, Sinks TH. Parental occupation and intracranial neoplasms of childhood: results of a case-control interview study. *Am J Epidemiol* 132:275-292 (1990).
 75. Kuijten RR, Bunin GR, Nass CC, Meadows AT. Parental occupational and childhood astrocytoma: results of a case-control study. *Cancer Res* 52:782-786 (1992).
 76. Davis JR, Brownson RC, Garcia R, Bentz BJ, Turner A. Family pesticide use and childhood brain cancer. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 24:87-92 (1993).
 77. Cordier S, Iglesias M-J, LeGoaster C, Guyot M-M, Mandereau L, Hemon D. Incidence and risk factors for childhood brain tumors in the Ile de France. *Int J Cancer* 59:776-782 (1994).
 78. Bunin GR, Buckley JD, Boesel CP, Rorke LB, Meadows AT. Risk factors for astrocytic glioma and primitive neuroectodermal tumor of the brain in young children: a report from the

- Children's Cancer Group. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 3:197-204 (1994).
79. McCredie M, Maisonneuve P, Boyle P. Antenatal risk factors for malignant brain tumours in New South Wales children. *Int J Cancer* 56:6-10 (1994).
 80. McCredie M, Maisonneuve P, Boyle P. Perinatal and early postnatal risk factors for malignant brain tumours in New South Wales children. *Int J Cancer* 56:11-15 (1994).
 81. Pagoda JM, Preston-Martin S. Household pesticides and risk of pediatric brain tumors. *Environ Health Perspect* 105(11):1214-1220 (1997).
 82. Infante PF, Newton WA. Prenatal chlordane exposure and neuroblastoma. *N Engl J Med* 293:308 (1975).
 83. Spitz MR, Johnson CC. Neuroblastoma and paternal occupation: a case-control analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 121:924-929 (1985).
 84. Wilkins JR III, Hundley VD. Paternal occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields and neuroblastoma in offspring. *Am J Epidemiol* 113:995-1008 (1990).
 85. Bunin GR, Ward E, Kramer S, Rhee CA, Meadows AT. Neuroblastoma and parental occupation. *Am J Epidemiol* 131:776-780 (1990).
 86. Buckley JD. Childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Presented at the National Cancer Institute Workshop on the Time Trends in Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma: Current Knowledge and Recommendations for Research, 22-23 October 1991, Bethesda, MD.
 87. Kantor AF, McCrea Curnen MG, Meigs JW, Flannery JT. Occupations of fathers of patients with Wilms' tumor. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 33:253-256 (1979).
 88. Wilkins JR III, Sinks TH Jr. Occupational exposures among fathers of children with Wilms' tumor. *J Occup Med* 26:427-435 (1984).
 89. Olshan A, Breslow NE, Falletta JM, Grufferman S, Pendergrass T, Robison LL, Waskerwitz M, Woods WG, Vietti TJ, Hammond GD. Risk factors for Wilms' tumor: report from the National Wilms' Tumor Study. *Cancer* 72:938-944 (1993).
 90. Sharpe CR, Franco EL, de Camargo B, Lopes LR, Barreto JH, Johnsson RR, Mauad MA. Parental exposures to pesticides and risk of Wilms' tumor in Brazil. *Am J Epidemiol* 141:210-217 (1995).
 91. Holman CDJ, Reynolds PM, Byrne MJJ, Trotter JM, Armstrong BK. Possible infectious etiology of six cases of Ewing's sarcoma in Western Australia. *Cancer* 52:1974-1976 (1983).
 92. Zamora P, de Paredes G, Gonzalez Baron M, Diaz MA, Escobar Y, Ordonez A, Lopez Barea F, Gonzalez JM. Ewing's tumor in brothers: an unusual observation. *Am J Clin Oncol (CCT)* 9:358-360 (1986).
 93. Daigle AE. Epidemiologic study of etiologic factors in Ewing's sarcoma. University of Minnesota. Diss Abstr Int B Sci Eng 47:2861 (1987).
 94. Holly EA, Aston DP, Ahn PKA, Kristiansen JJ. Ewing's bone sarcoma, parental occupational exposure, and other factors. *Am J Epidemiol* 135:122-129 (1992).
 95. Winn DM, Li FP, Robison LL, Mulvihill JJ, Daigle AE, Fraumeni JF Jr. A case-control study of the etiology of Ewing's sarcoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1:525-532 (1992).
 96. Magnani C, Pastore P, Luzzatto L, Carli M, Lubrano P, Terracini B. Risk factors for soft tissue sarcomas in childhood: a case-control study. *Tumori* 75:396-400 (1989).
 97. Pratt CB, Rivera G, Shanks E, Johnson WW, Howarth C, Terrell W, Kumar APM. Colorectal carcinoma in adolescents: implications regarding etiology. *Cancer* 40:2464-2472 (1977).
 98. Pratt CB, George SL, O'Connor D, Hoffman RE. Adolescent colorectal cancer and dioxin exposure [Letter]. *Lancet* ii(8562):803 (1987).
 99. Caldwell GG, Cannon SB, Pratt CB, Arthur RD. Serum pesticide levels in patients with childhood colorectal carcinoma. *Cancer* 48:774-778 (1981).
 100. Mills P, Newell GR, Johnson DE. Testicular cancer associated with employment in agriculture and oil and natural gas extraction. *Lancet* i(8370):207-210 (1984).
 101. Shu XO, Nesbit ME, Buckley JD, Krailo MD, Robison LL. An exploratory analysis of risk factors for childhood malignant germ-cell tumors: report from the Childrens Cancer Group (Canada, United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 6:187-198 (1995).
 102. Bunin GR, Petrakova A, McAdams AT, Emanuel BS, Buckley JD, Woods WG, Hammond GD. Occupations of parents of children with retinoblastoma: a report from the Children's Cancer Study Group. *Cancer Res* 50:7129-7133 (1990).
 103. Camann DE. Investigating the effects of pesticide exposure in the home. *Technol Today* June:2-7 (1994).
 104. Maas RP, Kucken DJ, Patch SC, Peek BT, van Engelen DL. Pesticides in eastern North Carolina rural supply wells: land use factors and persistence. *J Environ Qual* 24:426-431 (1995).
 105. Nuckols JR, Faidi H, Xiang H, Stallones L. Application of GIS/RS Technology in a Rural Environmental Health Study. Paper No 96-T11. Columbia, MO:National Institute for Farm Safety, 1996.
 106. Nuckols JR, Stallones L, Xiang H, Faidi H. Spatial information technology and analysis in health assessment of rural communities [Abstract]. *Epidemiology* 7:S73 (1996).
 107. Ward MH, Nuckols JR, Weigel S, Maxwell S, Cantor KP. Unpublished data.
 108. Zahm SH, Weisenburger DD, Saal RC, Vaught JB, Babbitt PA, Blair A. The role of agricultural pesticide use in the development of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in women. *Arch Environ Health* 48:353-358 (1993).
 109. Pottern LM, Brown LM, Linet MS, Blair A. Genetic susceptibility and occupational exposure in the etiology of leukemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Presented at the Ninth International Symposium on Epidemiology in Occupational Health, 21-25 September 1992, Cincinnati, OH, 1992.
 110. Zahm SH, Ward MH, Blair A. Pesticides and cancer. In: *Occupational Medicine: State of the Art Reviews*. Vol 12:Pesticides (Keifer M, ed). Philadelphia:Hanley and Belfus, Inc., 1997:269-289.
 111. Zahm SH, Blair A. Cancer among migrant and seasonal farmworkers: an epidemiologic review and research agenda. *Am J Ind Med* 24:753-766 (1993).
 112. Zahm SH, Blair A, and the Farmworker Epidemiology Research Group. Cancer feasibility studies among migrant farmworkers. *Am J Ind Med* 32:301-302 (1997).